

PRODUCTS OF INSULAR POSSESSIONS TECHNICAL INFORMATION FOR PRE-ASSESSMENT SURVEY (TIPS)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1 BACKGROUND.....	2
2.1 EXAMPLES OF RED FLAGS	3
2.2 EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICES.....	4
2.3 EXAMPLES OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO REVIEW	4
PART 3 RISK ASSESSMENT AND INTERNAL CONTROL GUIDANCE	5
3.1 RISK	5
<i>A. Preliminary Assessment of Risk.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>B. Evaluation of Risk Acceptability</i>	<i>5</i>
3.2 INTERNAL CONTROL.....	6
3.3 EXTENSIVENESS OF AUDIT SAMPLE TEST (TESTING LIMIT).....	6
3.4 EVALUATION OF PRE-ASSESSMENT SURVEY TESTING RESULTS.....	7
3.5 EXAMPLES.....	8
PART 4 WORKSHEET FOR EVALUATING INTERNAL CONTROL (WEIC) – PRODUCTS OF INSULAR POSSESSIONS.....	10

PRODUCTS OF INSULAR POSSESSIONS TECHNICAL INFORMATION FOR PRE-ASSESSMENT SURVEY (TIPS)

PART 1 BACKGROUND

The purpose of this document is to provide guidance in performing a Pre-Assessment Survey (PAS) of the company's internal control for merchandise entered as products of insular possessions (IP) and evaluating the results.

Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards require the PAS team to obtain a sufficient understanding of internal control to plan the audit and determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed.

The guidelines and terms in this document are based on *Assessing Internal Controls in Performance Audits*, GAO/OP-4.1.4, published by the United States General Accounting Office, Office of Policy, September 1990; and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountant's *Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78*.

PART 2 INSULAR POSSESSION GUIDANCE

Regulations governing IPs are in 19 CFR Part 7. In addition, General Note 3(a)(iv) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), provides the criteria for preferential treatment of products produced in IPs. For purposes of this technical guide, only sections 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4 of 19 CFR will apply. Additionally there is a Customs Informed Compliance document on IP dated June, 1999.

Additional guidance may be found in:

- C.S.D. 85-25 (double substantial transformation);
- Ruling 556193, dated 12/23/91 (dual-sourcing);
- Ruling 557087, dated 7/22/93, T.D. 81-282, T.D. 78-399, and C.S.D. 80-208 (unallowable general and administrative costs); and
- Ruling 559010, dated 3/14/96 and T.D. 91-7 (treatment of components in sets).

Insular possessions of the U.S. include; the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, Wake Island, Midway Islands, Johnston Atoll, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. 19 CFR 7.2(a). Importations into these Insular Possessions are not governed by the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. 19 CFR 7.2(b).

To qualify for duty free treatment, products of insular possessions must:

- Be wholly the growth or product of the insular possession; or the good must become a new and different article as a result of manufacture or production in the insular possession, (See section 7.3(b) of 19 CFR)
- Not contain foreign materials that represent more than 70 percent of the goods total value; or in the case of IP goods described in section 213(b) of the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act (19 U.S.C. 2703(b)), more than 50 percent** of the goods total value. (See section 7.3(a)(1)(i) of 19 CFR).
- Come directly to the U.S. from the insular possession; (See sections 7.3(a)(1)(ii) and 7.3(e) of 19 CFR)

**The 50 percent value content requirement for products of IPs applies to the goods listed in section 10.233(a) of 19 CFR.

A producer of an IP product is required to incorporate any foreign material into the good no later than 18 months after importation from the foreign supplier (See section 7.3(c)(3)(ii) of 19 CFR). The following HTSUS provisions provide additional guidance for specific commodities when these commodities are the products of an IP:

- Additional U.S. Note 5 of chapter 91;
- Additional U.S. Note 2 of chapter 96, and except as provided in section 423 of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2703 note); and
- Additional U.S. Note 3(e) of chapter 71.

2.1 EXAMPLES OF RED FLAGS

The following examples are conditions that may indicate a potential problem with the merchandise entered as products of IPs.

- Company has insufficiently documented, poorly defined, or no internal control for accurately declaring merchandise entered as products of an IPs for Customs purposes.
Examples:
 - ✓ Company does not monitor or interact with the broker on IP eligibility issues.
 - ✓ Company relies on one employee to handle IP merchandise, and there are poor or no management checks or balances over this employee.
- Company staff lacks knowledge of IP eligibility issues.
- The company's import manager lacks cost accounting knowledge
- Company offers unreasonable explanations to Customs.
- Company fails to cooperate or respond to Customs.
- Company has high turnover of people in key positions.
- Significant variance exists between the importer's data and Customs' data.
- Customs (import specialist, account manager, compliance measurement, prior audit, profile) shows history of problems with IP merchandise.
- Company has either, never previously imported IP merchandise, or there was a large increase of imports of IP merchandise from a prior period.
- The importing company obtains identical articles from two different countries, where one of the countries is an insular possession and the other is not.
- The IP producer sources materials to produce the IP article from two different countries, where one of the countries is an insular possession the other is not.
- The importer does not request, maintain, or review documents supporting the qualification of IP merchandise (e.g., value content qualification).
- The importer and the IP producer are related.
- There is no prior audit or Customs review of the company's IP imports.
- Company does not monitor the IP classification or records process.
- The goods do not have markings to determine the country of origin.
- The company cannot provide a list of foreign suppliers and the types of goods the supplier provides.
- Amounts on cost sheets for unallowable general expenses and profit appear unusually low, indicating that allowable costs may be overstated.

2.2 EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICES

- Internal controls over merchandise entered as products of IPs:
 - ✓ Are in writing,
 - ✓ Include procedures for monitoring and feedback, and
 - ✓ Are monitored by management.
- One manager is responsible for control of the import department, including merchandise entered as products of IPs. That manager has knowledge of customs matters and the authority to ensure that internal control procedures for imports are established and followed by all company departments.
- Written internal control procedures assign duties and tasks to a position rather than a person.
- The company conducts and documents periodic reviews of merchandise entered as products of an IP, and uses the results to make corrections to entries and changes to their import operations as appropriate.
- The company has good interdepartmental communication about Customs matters.
- Importer has procedures to obtain any required or necessary documentation from its suppliers to support IP eligibility. (e.g., penalty provisions on the supplier in the purchase order if IP content information is not provided to Customs on demand).
- Importer maintains a database or listing of imported merchandise that would readily identify IP transactions.
- The company has a program in place to prevent transshipment.
- The company can itemize the value of the materials used.
- The company can readily provide listing of goods that are products of IPs.
- The company can provide the origin of the materials used in the production of the goods from the IP.
- The company visits the plant in the IP country where the products are produced.

2.3 EXAMPLES OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO REVIEW

- Internal control policies and procedures.
- The company's response to the questionnaire.
- Interviews with company staff concerning actual procedures and controls specific to merchandise entered as products of IPs.
- Country of origin markings on products and components.
- Company's documentation that supports monitoring and verification of established and/or written internal control for merchandise entered as products of IPs including:
 - ✓ A declaration by the shipper in the IP.
 - ✓ Certificate of Origin (Customs Form 3229).
 - ✓ Listing of goods that are products of IPs.
 - ✓ Invoices providing a description and origin of the IP products.
 - ✓ Specification sheets, drawings, or bills of material depicting the products of the insular possession that are included in the produced goods.
 - ✓ Bills of Lading that show direct transport from the U.S. to the IP and/or direct transport from the insular possession to the U.S.
 - ✓ Proof that the goods of the IPs have not been claimed for drawback.
 - ✓ Listing of origin of the products used in production.

- ✓ Travel documents that show the company visited the manufacturers or factories to verify that the products were manufactured produced in the IP.
- ✓ Customs Form ITA-361, Request for Refund of Duties on Watches and Watch Movements.
- ✓ Manufacturer's affidavits as to country of origin of components.
- ✓ Bills of material listing country of origin for components, whether foreign vendors are related or unrelated.
- ✓ "Where used" reports ("exploded " bills of material) showing that components underwent "double substantial transformation".
- ✓ Accounting records supporting product cost sheets, including financial statements, post-closing trial balance, detailed chart of accounts, and general ledger detail.

PART 3 RISK ASSESSMENT AND INTERNAL CONTROL GUIDANCE

PAS team judgement should be used to determine the type and amount of testing needed to evaluate how effective internal control is and whether there is sufficient risk to warrant proceeding to the Assessment Compliance Testing (ACT) process.

Using the chart and the guidelines below, determine through limited judgmental testing whether the company's internal control is effective.

To determine the extensiveness of internal control testing, it is necessary to evaluate:

1. **Risk**; and
2. The **internal control** system, by determining whether the controls are in operation, how the controls were applied, how consistently they are applied, and who applied them.

3.1 RISK

A. Preliminary Assessment of Risk

Before any audit work begins at the company the team should make a preliminary assessment of risk (PAR) using information obtained from Customs or publicly available information. The purpose of the PAR is to evaluate identified potential risks to Customs based on analytical reviews of Customs data and other Customs information. This review will identify areas of potential risk and eliminate some areas with insignificant risk. The PAR should be conducted using the form in Attachment 1 to the PAS Audit Program.

B. Evaluation of Risk Acceptability

After the audit work begins with the company the team will refine the assessment of risk. After all audit work has been completed the team will determine whether risk is acceptable or unacceptable using the PAS Audit Program as summarized in the following steps.

- Determine what activities pose a significant risk to Customs.
- Test the existence, effectiveness and implementation of internal control and determine if internal control is adequate to control risk.

- Using the results of the internal control review, develop an opinion whether risk is acceptable or unacceptable.

3.2 INTERNAL CONTROL

To evaluate the internal control system:

1. Consider the five components of internal control:
 - Control Environment
 - Risk Assessment
 - Control Activities
 - Information and Communication
 - Monitoring
2. Review relevant Customs and company documents to identify and understand relevant internal control over merchandise entered as products of an IP (Examples of documents and information to review are listed on the prior page).
3. Determine whether the company established and follows procedures. Review:
 - Documentary evidence of the results of periodic internal control reviews/testing and corrective action implemented.
 - Documentary evidence of communication between the broker and company on merchandise entered as products of IP issues, company testing of broker operations and verification that the broker followed company instructions.
 - Company-specific IP rulings and evidence that they are followed.
 - Documentary evidence of intra-company communications to ensure correct information is provided to Customs.
 - Training records and materials relating to IP used to educate staff on Customs matters.
4. Review written policies and procedures and interview applicable company personnel to complete appropriate sections of the Worksheet for Evaluating Internal Control (WEIC) for Products of Insular Possessions in PART 4 of this document.

Note: The internal control assessment should include steps to:

- Identify and understand internal control
- Determine what is already known about control effectiveness
- Assess the adequacy of internal control design
- Determine whether controls are implemented and effective
- Determine whether transaction processes are documented

3.3 EXTENSIVENESS OF AUDIT SAMPLE TEST (TESTING LIMIT)

The purpose of limited PAS testing is to take a survey in order to determine the necessity for and extent of substantive tests. In some circumstances, the PAS team may decide that it probably will not be able to form an opinion based on limited PAS testing. In such cases, it may

be necessary to proceed immediately to the ACT process. If the PAS team believes it can form an opinion based on limited PAS testing, test the appropriate number of controls and associated transactions using the table below. Tests may be appropriate for various areas below the total IP level that will be reported. For example, the company imports from several foreign companies, but testing may be necessary only for certain companies or only certain products that have been identified as primary risks.

Extensiveness of Audit Tests

PAR Level	+	Preliminary Review Internal Control	=	Extensiveness of Audit Test	Testing Limit
High		Weak Adequate Strong		High Moderate to High Low to Moderate	10-20
Moderate		Weak Adequate Strong		Moderate to High Moderate Low	5-15
Low		Weak Adequate Strong		Low to Moderate Low Very Low	1-10

Source: Adapted from *Assessing Internal Controls in Performance Audits*.
Column titled "Testing Limit" reflects Customs test sizes.

3.4 EVALUATION OF PRE-ASSESSMENT SURVEY TESTING RESULTS

The following steps are guidance for determining the effectiveness of company's internal control over merchandise entered as products of insular possession.

1. Complete the Worksheet for Evaluating Internal Control (WEIC) for Products of Insular Possessions to determine whether risk is acceptable or unacceptable and to document why. Put results of testing in perspective and evaluate confirmed weakness as a whole. The evaluation should consider the results of the internal control testing, problems identified in the profile, and/or concerns raised by the import specialist and account manager. The team must evaluate the PAS results based on the specific situations.
Customs considers risk to be unacceptable when testing reveals that internal control is not sufficient or effective in providing reasonable assurance that accurate, timely, and complete declarations are reported to Customs.
2. The following will assist the PAS team in determining whether conditions warrant proceeding to ACT.

Do not proceed to ACT if:

- Cost-benefit analysis warrants no further effort, (do not spend a significant amount of resources to identify a potential loss of revenue considered insignificant.) and
- The result of review indicated that the error was due to an isolated incident.

- If substantive tests necessary to determine a compliance rate or revenue loss can be performed quickly and without extensive effort, the team should immediately perform the substantive tests without proceeding to ACT.

Proceed to ACT if:

- The company does not have adequate internal control and the review indicated a material loss of revenue that cannot be quantified without statistical sampling or further review.
- The importer will not quantify the loss of revenue.
- The company refuses to take corrective action on systemic errors and it is necessary to calculate a compliance rate to evidence significant non-compliance.

Note: If substantive tests necessary to determine a compliance rate or revenue loss can be quickly performed without extensive effort, the team should immediately perform the substantive tests without proceeding to ACT.

3. Determine whether referrals should be made for enforcement action.

3.5 EXAMPLES

The following examples of situations that might be encountered under the PAS *are for clarification purposes only*:

Example A: Situation in which the team would not proceed to ACT (Revenue)

Background

The company's Customs compliance manual requires that its import manager obtain a declaration by the shipper for each crude oil shipment prior to importation into the U.S. Before a shipment can be released to the refinery, the company's import classification clerk from the shipping department must sign a shipment release certificate, which indicates whether or not, the shipment qualifies for products of IPs. The clerk determines whether or not the shipment qualifies based on 10.233(a)(3) of 19 CFR that applies specifically to petroleum.

If the goods qualify, a special trade indicator "Y" is stamped on the shipment release certificate. A copy of the shipment release certificate, and declaration by the shipper are submitted to the import manager for review, approval, and filing. The import manager forwards a copy of the approved documents to the broker for use in preparing the entry and filing. Once the Broker prepared the entry, a copy is sent to the import clerk to check for accuracy. The import clerk then sends a copy of the entry to the accounting department. The accounting department prepares a cash disbursement voucher and sends it to the import manager for payment.

The PAS Results

The PAS found that one of the six entries selected for review did not go through the company's review process to ensure it qualifies as a product of an IP. The entry involved crude oil that was not substantially transformed into a new product of the IP and therefore did not qualify. The company agreed with the PAS finding and quantified the loss of revenue. The company subsequently reviewed all entries, found all the untested entries that had not gone through the

review process, and quantified the loss of revenue. Since Customs was able to determine that correction occurred proceeding to ACT was not necessary.

Example B: Situation in which the team would not proceed to ACT (Compliance)

Same situation as Example A above, except the PAS team was able to verify that controls were in place and working effectively. All six of the entries selected for review went through the company's review process to ensure the goods qualify for products of IPs. Therefore, proceeding to ACT was not considered necessary.

Example C: Situation in which team would proceed to ACT (Revenue)

Same situation as Example A above, except that the PAS found more entries of other commodities that did not go through the company's review process and the company was not able to quantify the loss of revenue. Therefore, proceeding to ACT was considered necessary.

Example D: Situation in which team would proceed to ACT (Compliance)

The same situation as Example A above, except that (as stated in its procedures manual) the company did not allow the import classification clerk from the shipping department to review the data and sign a shipment release certificate. The company refused to follow its written procedures or establish new procedures to correct the problems. Proceeding to ACT was considered necessary to determine the extent of the problem.

PART 4 WORKSHEET FOR EVALUATING INTERNAL CONTROL (WEIC) – PRODUCTS OF INSULAR POSSESSIONS

PURPOSE: To determine whether Products of Insular Possessions risk is acceptable.

The completion of this worksheet provides evidence that the five components of internal control: Control Environment, Risk Assessment, Control Activities, Information and Communications, and Monitoring were evaluated.

During this phase of the process, an internal control review will be completed and factors for internal control related to an assessment of Risk Exposure including Internal Control Red Flags, Susceptibility, Management Support and Competent Personnel will be considered. The completion of this worksheet provides evidence that these factors were evaluated.

All answers must be linked to supporting documentation.

OBJECTIVES:

Section 1 - Internal Control Questions	Consolidate information learned about internal control through interviews and document reviews to form a preliminary assessment of internal control before testing. For work paper reference column titled "Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews," confirm that the control is implemented through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interviews and requesting evidence from the company and • Reviews of documents that provide evidence that the company completed the activity.
Section 2 - Preliminary Internal Control Assessment	Use information consolidated in Section 1 to make a preliminary assessment whether internal control is strong, adequate, weak or nonexistent.
Section 3 - Sample sizes	Use the Preliminary Assessment of Risk (PAR) Level and the Preliminary Internal Control Assessment to determine the sample size for each sample.
Section 4 - Results of Sample Testing	Use information in Section 4 to record the results of PAS testing to evaluate whether internal control is effective to provide reasonable assurance of compliance.
Section 5 - Risk Opinion	Use information in section 1-4 to record the PAS opinion that risk is acceptable or unacceptable

Section 1 – Internal Control Questions

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
1.	Are internal controls to ensure products of IP meet eligibility formally documented?					
2.	Are written policies and procedures approved by management?					
3.	Are written policies and procedures reviewed and updated periodically?					
4.	Is one manager responsible for control of the Import Department, including products of IPs?					
5.	Does that manager have knowledge of Customs matters and the authority to ensure that internal control procedures for imports are established and followed by all company departments?					
6.	Does the responsible person have cost accounting knowledge?					
7.	Do written internal control procedures assign IP duties and tasks to a position rather than a person?					

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
8.	Does company have good interdepartmental communication about IP matters?					
9.	Does company conduct and document periodic reviews of products of IP?					
10.	Does company use the IP periodic review results to make corrections to past and present entries?					
11.	Does the company use the IP periodic reviews to make changes to it import operations as appropriate?					
12.	Do internal controls involve a verification process to determine that the imported merchandise qualifies for IP?					
13.	Is adequate descriptive information provided (by purchasing, engineering, supplier, and other department) to the Customs Department and/or broker to ensure proper IP classification and eligibility?					
14.	Does the importer have procedures to obtain any required or necessary documentation to support the claim (e.g., a contract penalty provision if IP information is not provided to Customs on demand)?					

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
15.	Does the importer maintain an IP database or listing of imported merchandise that would readily identify IP transactions?					
16.	Does the importer (or its agent) visit the plant in the IP country where the products are produced?					
17.	Does the company perform an annual review of changes to IP?					
18.	Does the individual overseeing compliance with products of insular possession requirements have adequate knowledge and training?					
	NEW IP MERCHANDISE					
19.	Does management review the classification and eligibility of new IP items?					
20.	Is responsibility for the IP eligibility process assigned to one knowledgeable individual or department with management oversight?					
21.	Is Customs assistance sought in classifying merchandise (e.g., requesting binding rulings)?					

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
	ENTRY REVIEW					
22.	Does the company review entries to verify that correct classifications were used?					
23.	Does the company monitor the entry review process to verify that controls were followed?					
24.	Are suppliers required to print company-provided HTSUS on invoices and/or packing lists?					
25.	Does the company provide adequate broker oversight?					
26.	Does the company identify, analyze and manage risks related to Insular Possessions?					
27.	Has the company identified any risks related to Insular Possessions and implemented control mechanisms?					
28.	Does the company have internal control to address specific issues identified in the profile?					

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
29.	List company-specific procedures and controls below (if applicable)					

Section 2 - Preliminary Internal Control Assessment

Use information obtained in section 1 above to make a preliminary assessment of internal control as strong, adequate, weak, or nonexistent.

	Strong	Adequate	Weak	None*
Internal Control				

* If the team concludes that the company does not have internal control, risk is not acceptable so proceed to Section 5 below.

Section 3 – Sample Sizes

Use the matrix for determining Extensiveness of Audit Tests in section 3.3 of TIPS to determine the extensiveness of audit tests to confirm that internal control is effective. Multiple samples are possible. Samples and sample items should concentrate on risk.

Sample Area	PAR Level (High, Moderate, or Low)	Internal Control Level (Weak, Adequate, or Strong) From Section 2 Above	Testing Limit (1-20)

Section 4 - Results of Sample Testing

Use the results of sample testing to determine if internal control is effective.

Results of Testing	Yes or No
Is IC effective to provide reasonable assurance to preclude significant risk?	

Section 5 - Risk Opinion

Use the information developed in Sections 1-4 to record the PAS opinion that risk is acceptable or unacceptable.

Risk Opinion	Yes or No	Comments
Acceptable		

If risk is not acceptable the audit team may need to proceed to ACT or have company do quantification.